

Investigation into the visual perceptive ability of anaesthetists during ultrasound-guided interscalene and femoral blocks conducted on soft embalmed cadavers: a randomised single-blind study

Citation for published version:

Mustafa, A, Seeley, J, Munirama, S, Columb, M, McKendrick, M-E, Schwab, A, Corner, G, Eisma, R & McLeod, G 2018, 'Investigation into the visual perceptive ability of anaesthetists during ultrasound-guided interscalene and femoral blocks conducted on soft embalmed cadavers: a randomised single-blind study', *British Journal of Anaesthesia*, vol. 120, no. 4, pp. 854-859. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2017.12.035>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1016/j.bja.2017.12.035](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2017.12.035)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Heriot-Watt Research Portal](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

British Journal of Anaesthesia

Publisher Rights Statement:

This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced version of an article accepted for publication in British Journal of Anaesthesia following peer review. The version of record Mustafa, A., Seeley, J., Munirama, S., Columb, M., McKendrick, M-E., Schwab, A., McLeod, G. (2018). Investigation into the visual perceptive ability of anaesthetists during ultrasound-guided interscalene and femoral blocks conducted on soft embalmed cadavers: a randomised single-blind study. *British Journal of Anaesthesia*, is available online at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2017.12.035>

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via Heriot-Watt Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

Heriot-Watt University has made every reasonable effort to ensure that the content in Heriot-Watt Research Portal complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact open.access@hw.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

An investigation into the visual perceptive ability of anaesthetists during analysis of ultrasound guided interscalene and femoral blocks conducted on soft embalmed cadavers.
A randomised, single blind study.



Journal:	<i>British Journal of Anaesthesia</i>
Manuscript ID	BJA-2017-01138-TAKA084.R2
Article Type:	Laboratory Investigation
Date Submitted by the Author:	19-Dec-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Mustafa, Ayman ; Ninewells Hospital, Anaesthesia Seeley, Jonathan; Ninewells Hospital, Anaesthesia Munirama, Shilpa; Manchester Royal Infirmary, Anaesthesia Columb, Malachy; Intensive Care Unit, Wythenshawe Hospital, Manchester M23 9LT, Intensive Care Unit McKendrick, Mel; Heriot-Watt University, Psychology Schwab, Andreas; Friedrich Alexander University-Erlangen-Nuremberg, Institute of Medical Physics Corner, George; University of Dundee, Bioengineering Eisma, Roos; University of Dundee, Centre for Anatomy & Human Identification Mcleod, Graeme; Ninewells Hospital, Anaesthesia; University of Dundee, Institute of Academic Anaesthesia
Mesh keywords:	Regional anaesthesia, Ultrasonography, Elastography

Abstract

Background: Errors may occur during regional anaesthesia while searching for nerves, needle tips and test doses. Poor visual search impacts on decision making, clinical intervention and patient safety.

Methods: We conducted a randomised, single-blind study in a single university hospital. Twenty trainees and two consultants examined paired B-Mode and fused B-mode and elastography video recordings of 24 interscalene and 24 femoral blocks conducted on two soft embalmed cadavers. Perineural injection was randomised equally to 0.25ml, 0.5ml and 1.0ml volumes. Tissue displacement perceived on both imaging modalities was defined as “target” or “distractor”.

Our primary objective was to test anaesthetists’ perception of the number and proportion of targets and distractors on B-Mode and fused elastography videos collected during femoral and sciatic nerve block on soft embalmed cadavers. Our secondary objectives were to determine differences between novices and experts and between test dose volumes, and measure the area and brightness of spread and strain patterns.

Results: All anaesthetists recognised perineural spread using 0.25ml volumes. Distractor patterns were recognised in 133 (12%) of B-Mode and in 403 (38%) of fused B-Mode and elastography patterns, $P < 0.001$. With elastography, novice recognition improved from 12% to 37% ($P < 0.001$) and consultant recognition increased from 24% to 53%, $P < 0.001$. Distractor recognition improved from 8% to 31% using 0.25ml volumes ($P < 0.001$), and from 15% to 45% using 1ml volumes ($P < 0.001$).

Conclusions: Visual search improved with fusion elastography, increased volume, and consultants. A need exists to investigate image search strategies.

Introduction

Errors may occur during the visual search, recognition and decision making phases¹ of ultrasound-guided regional anaesthesia (UGRA) nerve block. Search errors are attributable to failure to see lesions that are normally noticed by anaesthetists.² With recognition errors, lesions are seen but confusing; and decision errors occur when a lesion is fixated on for long periods but the anaesthetist either does not recognise features or dismisses them.³

Elastography is an ultrasound-based technology that cross-correlates radio-frequency waves before and after tissue displacement and displays relative displacement (strain) in colour.

While conducting interscalene and femoral nerve block in cadavers⁴ and patients⁵ we noticed novel features on paired B-Mode and elastography ultrasound images in response to injection of test doses. Tissue strain intermittently presented as two patterns instead of one.⁶ Additional patterns, termed distractors, were distinguished from primary target displacement patterns by brightness, size, position or movement.⁷ In contrast, test doses as low as 0.25ml were always seen on B-Mode images, but distractor patterns much less so. Our impression was that elastography exhibited greater saliency, the extent to which a location differs from its surroundings, than B-mode images because: (i) key regions differed in brightness, colour, orientation, and motion; and that (ii) anaesthetists' visual attention was attracted towards these features.

Visual salience describes the visual processing mechanism that enables the brain to select important features that stand out from other items or locations⁸ (Table 1). Saliency is associated with passive, automatic visual search or "bottom-up processing" rather than cognitive, goal driven "top-down processing"⁹. Consideration of both processes creates an saliency map, a topographic representation of relative stimulus strength and behavioural

importance. This map is distributed throughout the visual cortex and linked via the oculomotor system to eye movement and eye gaze¹⁰. Eye gaze characteristics such as fixations and saccades are quantified using eye-tracking technology and are used in many industries to measure technical performance.

Visual search and salience differ between experts and novices. Experts rely on bottom-up processes that facilitate efficient search, albeit mediated by prior knowledge when encountering novel stimuli⁹. Novices, in contrast, are primarily goal driven but can be salience led to very obvious targets.

We hypothesised that improvements in novice visual perception were salience driven, and, if so, would provide the evidence for investigation of the role of eye gaze technology and augmented reality during simulator training. This approach could help train anaesthetists better, target local anaesthetic more and improve patient safety.

Our primary objective was to test anaesthetists' perception of the number and proportion of targets and distractors on B-Mode and fused elastography videos collected during femoral and sciatic nerve block on soft embalmed cadavers.

Our secondary objectives were to measure differences in perception between novices and experts, between test dose volumes, and measure the area and brightness of spread and strain patterns over time.

Methods

Participants

All trainee anaesthetists at basic, intermediate and higher levels of training working in Ninewells Hospital were invited to take part in this study along with two consultant subspecialists in regional anaesthesia. Our exclusion criterion for trainees was the prior use of elastography. The study was approved by the University of Dundee Committee on Non-Medical Ethics.

Software Development

Elastography and its applicability to the diagnosis of intraneural injection is described in detail in a previous papers^{4, 6}. In summary, our engineer converted colour elastography video frames to filtered and despeckled black and white images using MATLAB software (Natick, MA) and a grayscale threshold tool. Enhanced elastography patterns were fused onto corresponding B-Mode images. Tissue displacement in response to fluid injection was seen as a flowing translucent, white area, superimposed onto paired B Mode images. Fusion videos were converted to TIFF files and analysed using ImageJ (Wayne Rasband, Research Services Branch, National Institute of Mental Health, Bethesda, MD)

Conduct of block

A single independent, experienced anaesthetist conducted 24 interscalene and 24 femoral ultrasound-guided nerve blocks, randomised equally using a software randomisation program to 0.25ml, 0.5ml and 1ml volumes to both sides of two soft embalmed cadavers. The physical properties of the soft embalmed Thiel cadaver and its functional alignment as a simulator of ultrasound-guided regional anaesthesia have been described⁶.

Interscalene block was performed using a 100 mm needle (B.Braun, Sheffield, UK), in-plane to a 5 to 10MHz ultrasound transducer, and embalming fluid deposited between the nerve roots of C5 and C6. Femoral block was performed using a 50mm needle at 90° to the plane of the same ultrasound transducer and injected below the fascia iliaca and superficial to the femoral nerve. We recorded nerve blocks using a Zonare (Mountain View, CA) Z.one ultrasound scan engine build release 4.2 with B-Mode ultrasound and proprietary elastography. Blocks were recorded on DICOM imaging software.

Before starting the study, participants were shown videos of interscalene and femoral block using B-Mode and elastography ultrasound by one of two independent investigators.

Participants deemed themselves ready for participation in the experiment. Each assessed 96 videos comprising 8 blocks performed at 2 sites on 2 nerves (interscalene and femoral), using 3 volumes (0.25ml, 0.5ml, 1.0ml) and 2 imaging modes (B-Mode ultrasound and fused B-Mode and elastography). Each video was played once to replicate practice. Patterns were rated according to whether perineural fluid spread or strain tissue had occurred in isolation or not. Distractors were defined as distinct patterns of spread or strain differing from target perineural spread or strain by either size, movement or time. Our primary endpoint was therefore recorded as a “1” or “2” corresponding to the number of events observed. We did not ask trainees to refine their choice further because this study focused on the detection of events and not their interpretation or impact on decision making. We did not know if sufficient homogeneity existed between patterns that would have allowed ready, simple classification by non-experts. For descriptive purposes, video examples of fluid spread and strain patterns were categorised by two researchers at the end of the study in order to minimise bias.

Area and Brightness Measurement

The cross-sectional area and brightness of B-Mode fluid spread and strain patterns were measured on every fourth video frame (every 0.5s) by a single rater using ImageJ. To test the reliability of our data, two raters independently measured the area of fusion elastograms using the yellow tracing tool available on ImageJ. Duration of fluid spread or strain was defined as the time from the first visible evidence of tissue distention to tissue relaxation. Each image was calibrated to a standard $7.28 \text{ pixels.mm}^{-2}$. Mean tissue brightness was calculated as the sum of the grey values between 0 (black) and 255 (white) of all the pixels within the region of interest divided by the number of pixels. Area and brightness measurements were log converted for analysis. Our secondary end-points were measurements of area (mm^2) and brightness.

Statistical analysis

Paired B-Mode and fused elastography images were analysed using McNemar's test and presented as differences in paired proportions. Predictors of area and brightness were assessed using a mixed effects regression model. Covariates included cadaver, right or left sided injection, injection sequence, type of block (interscalene and femoral), volume (0.25, 0.5 and 1.0ml) and imaging region of interest (perineural fluid spread, area of strain pattern). A stratified logistic regression model was used to analyse binary outcomes and take account of repeated measures. Data were analysed using Number Cruncher Statistical Systems (NCSS) 11, NCSS Inc., Kaysville, UT and LogXact 8, Cytel Inc., Cambridge, MA.

Results

Twenty anaesthesia trainees and 2 consultant UGRA experts examined 48 paired B-Mode and fusion elastography videos, giving 2112 observations. Median (IQR) trainee anaesthesia experience was 3 (1 – 4) years. All participants recognised perineural spread or strain at all volumes between 0.25ml and 1ml.

Distractors were recognised in 133 (12%) of B-Mode and 403 (38%) fusion elastography videos, differences in paired proportions, $P < 0.001$. (Table 2). Use of fusion elastography improved novice recognition from 12% to 37% ($P < 0.001$) and consultant recognition from 24% to 53% ($P < 0.001$). Recognition of distractors improved from 8% to 31% using 0.25ml volumes ($P < 0.001$) and from 15% to 45% using 1ml volumes ($P < 0.001$).

Using stratified logistic regression, recognition of distractors was better with: fusion elastography Odds Ratio, OR (95%CI) 5.31 (3.14 – 8.96), $P < 0.001$; consultant anaesthetists OR 3.84 (2.29 - 6.45) $P < 0.001$; greater volumes of injectate OR 2.19 (1.11 – 4.31), $P = 0.02$; and worse with interscalene block OR 0.58 (0.35 – 0.94), $P = 0.03$ (Table 3). Area and brightness were greater with fusion elastography and with increased injectate volume (Table 4).

Three distinct strain patterns were categorised retrospectively by two additional experts in response to injection: (i) two areas of similar size, shape and brightness but spreading in opposite directions from the tip of the needle (Video 1); (ii) initial tissue displacement followed by a sudden reversal in flow and secondary displacement at the initial site of injection (Video 2); and (iii) tangential displacement away from the injection site (Video 3).

Pattern (i) was observed during 7 femoral blocks. Pattern (ii) occurred 13 times with interscalene and 5 times with femoral block, and pattern (iii) occurred once with each block.

Discussion

This study showed improved perception of distractor tissue changes using fusion elastography compared to B-Mode ultrasound. Perception was volume dependent and better with experts compared to novices and with femoral block. Learning was facilitated over a short period but not to the level of experts.

Strengths and weaknesses of the study

The principal strength of our study is that our results align with that of Awh et al¹¹ who proposed a framework for visual processing. We showed that a saliency-based approach using white enhancement of strain patterns and differences in volume drew attention to key targets for novices. Novice search and performance was enhanced in the short term, but has potential to speed up learning curves with repeated practice.

We extended our fusion elastography work on soft embalmed cadavers that showed that trainees were more likely to diagnose intraneural injection using fusion elastography⁴. Our current study proved more difficult because trainees were expected to recognise low volume tissue changes. Therefore, it is unsurprising that trainees could only recognise 62% of distractor patterns compared to 80% of intraneural injections as in our previous study. All trainees were able to detect perineural displacement on B-Mode images secondary to

1
2
3 injection of volumes between 0.25ml and 1ml (videos 1 to 3), an observation that agrees
4
5 with that of Krediet et al¹².
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13

14 We identified three distinct distractor patterns that varied in brightness, size and movement
15
16 to target patterns, and described them as bilateral, rebound and distal. Bilateral patterns
17
18 occurred in 7 femoral blocks, secondary to forceful needle nerve contact during out-of-
19
20 plane needle insertion (Video 1), and sideways spread of injectate. Forceful needle nerve
21
22 contact and nerve haematoma has been proposed as one cause of chronic nerve damage
23
24 after regional anaesthesia¹³. This observation suggests a need for investigation of the role of
25
26 elastography along with pressure monitoring in the diagnosis of forceful needle nerve
27
28 contact. We hypothesise that rebound phenomena represented movement and reflection
29
30 of fluid within fascial planes and therefore likely to contribute to clinical block. Video 2
31
32 provides an insight into the hydrodynamics of perineural spread, an aspect of regional
33
34 blockade that hitherto has not been investigated. An assumption exists in practice that flow
35
36 of local anaesthetic is unidirectional. Our results suggest otherwise for test doses. We
37
38 hypothesise that injectate distends tissue planes, but once wavefront pressure is insufficient
39
40 to open up fascial layers, it returns as a wave to the point of origin. There is a need to
41
42 investigate this phenomenon further using the range of volumes used. The distal pattern
43
44 seen in Video 3 is likely to be associated with excessive longitudinal strain secondary to
45
46 excessive transducer pressure, albeit we would expect that local anaesthetic deposition far
47
48 from the nerve would be associated with similar tissue changes. Our observations on
49
50 elastography confirm previous work that suggests a potential clinical role detecting test
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 doses. However, elastography should not be regarded as a surrogate for hydrolocation
4
5 because strain area was greater than hydrolocation area on B-Mode images. Strain reflects
6
7 relative displacement of all tissues during fluid injection, and tissue displacement depends
8
9 on tissue elasticity or stiffness.
10

11
12
13
14 We used elastography as an experimental psychological tool because it demonstrated white
15
16 areas of strain and spatial, temporal and dynamic changes using a standard ultrasound
17
18 transducer. Injections are presented as videos because tissue change using 0.25ml to 1ml is
19
20 difficult to see on static images. Use of two cadavers may be regarded as a weakness but we
21
22 had limited availability at the time of the study. The University of Dundee has now
23
24 converted to the whole-time use of soft embalmed cadavers and future studies will
25
26 randomise to different cadavers.
27
28
29
30

31 32 *Impact of Research*

33
34 This work has increased understanding of the psychological mechanisms that underpin
35
36 performance during nerve block. Consideration of change blindness, a perceptual
37
38 phenomenon that occurs when a salient visual stimulus is introduced and the observer does
39
40 not notice, suggests trainee difficulty with target search.
41
42
43

44 We hypothesise that novices were reliant on cognitive learning, which may help detect
45
46 single distinctive targets, but that this compromised their performance during multiple-
47
48 target search tasks because the cognitive effort needed to match targets to expectations
49
50 was overwhelming¹⁵. In contrast, experts used an automatic global search strategy gained
51
52 by long-term exposure to repeated images^{16, 17}. Our results show that novices had
53
54 deficiencies in visual search and that improvement in visual attention was salience driven.
55
56
57
58
59
60

Visual search is quantifiable using eye tracking, a technology used in other industries to quantify eye gaze fixations and saccades, and thus can create individual learning curves, and investigate the transfer of visual search and image interpretation to decision making¹. We are quantifying the learning curves of trainee anaesthetists and expert regional anaesthetists while conducting up to 60 interscalene blocks on the soft embalmed Thiel cadaver in a mastery learning and dedicated practice environment. Eye gaze metrics provide a graphical representation of rate of progress, patterns of learning over time, and discrimination between good, average and poor performers, and between experts. We now wish to investigate whether eye gaze metrics, along with item analysis of preprocedural and procedural tasks provide a measure of transfer of skills from the simulator to the clinical workplace two to three months later. Using the same metrics, we also wish to investigate the best means of teaching on the simulator that translates best to practice.

An opportunity also exists to investigate which salient features are more likely to help or hinder anaesthetists and discover how novices and expert anaesthetists interrogate images and translate their impact to individual performance. The relative interaction of top-down and bottom-up influences deserves investigation in regional anaesthesia.

Conclusion

We applied fusion elastography to training on the soft embalmed cadaver to guide novice attention to salient features. This improved recognition of distractors in response to perineural test doses. A need exists to investigate novice search strategies using eye tracking technology to improve training and target local anaesthetic accurately and safely.

References

- 1 Kundel HL, Nodine CF, Carmody D. Visual scanning, pattern recognition and decision-making in pulmonary nodule detection. *Invest Radiol* 1978; **13**: 175-81
- 2 van der Gijp A, Ravesloot CJ, Jarodzka H, et al. How visual search relates to visual diagnostic performance: a narrative systematic review of eye-tracking research in radiology. *Adv Health Sci Educ Theory Pract* 2017; **22**: 765-87
- 3 Krupinski EA. The role of perception in imaging: past and future. *Semin Nucl Med* 2011; **41**: 392-400
- 4 Munirama S, Zealley K, Schwab A, et al. Trainee anaesthetist diagnosis of intraneural injection-a study comparing B-mode ultrasound with the fusion of B-mode and elastography in the soft embalmed Thiel cadaver model. *Br J Anaesth* 2016; **117**: 792-800
- 5 Munirama S, Satapathy AR, Schwab A, et al. Translation of sonoelastography from Thiel cadaver to patients for peripheral nerve blocks. *Anaesthesia* 2012; **67**: 721-8
- 6 Munirama S, Eisma R, Columb M, Corner GA, McLeod GA. Physical properties and functional alignment of soft-embalmed Thiel human cadaver when used as a simulator for ultrasound-guided regional anaesthesia. *Br J Anaesth* 2016; **116**: 699-707
- 7 Eckstein MP. Visual search: a retrospective. *J Vis* 2011; **11**: 1-36.
- 8 Treue S. Visual attention: the where, what, how and why of saliency. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 2003; **13**: 428-32
- 9 Melloni L, van Leeuwen S, Alink A, Muller NG. Interaction between bottom-up saliency and top-down control: how saliency maps are created in the human brain. *Cereb Cortex* 2012; **22**: 2943-52
- 10 Moore T, Zirnsak M. Neural Mechanisms of Selective Visual Attention. *Annu Rev Psychol* 2017; **68**: 47-72
- 11 Awh E, Belopolsky AV, Theeuwes J. Top-down versus bottom-up attentional control: a failed theoretical dichotomy. *Trends Cogn Sci* 2012; **16**: 437-43
- 12 Krediet AC, Moayeri N, Bleys RL, Groen GJ. Intraneural or extraneural: diagnostic accuracy of ultrasound assessment for localizing low-volume injection. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2014; **39**: 409-13
- 13 Steinfeldt T, Graf J, Schneider J, et al. Histological Consequences of Needle-Nerve Contact following Nerve Stimulation in a Pig Model. *Anesthesiol Res Pract* 2011; **2011**: 591851
- 14 Simons DJ, Ambinder MS. Change blindness: Theory and consequences. *Current Directions in Psychological Science* 2005; **14**: 44-8
- 15 Nakashima R, Kobayashi K, Maeda E, Yoshikawa T, Yokosawa K. Visual search of experts in medical image reading: the effect of training, target prevalence, and expert knowledge. *Front Psychol* 2013; **4**: 166
- 16 Chun MM, Jiang Y. Contextual cueing: implicit learning and memory of visual context guides spatial attention. *Cogn Psychol* 1998; **36**: 28-71
- 17 Krupinski EA, Tillack AA, Richter L, et al. Eye-movement study and human performance using telepathology virtual slides: implications for medical education and differences with experience. *Hum Pathol* 2006; **37**: 1543-56

Authors' Contributions and Authorship

AM Performed trainee study

JS Performed trainee study

MC Statistical analysis

MM Psychology advisor

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

AS Bioengineer, wrote fusion elastography software and conducted anatomy studies
RE Supervising Anatomist
GC Medical Physics support
GM Study design, wrote paper
SM Study design, cadaver regional blocks and cadaver data collection, wrote paper

This study was presented at the Anaesthetic Research Society meeting in Clydebank, November 2016

For Peer Review

Abstract

Background: Errors may occur during regional anaesthesia while searching for nerves, needle tips and test doses. Poor visual search impacts on decision making, clinical intervention and patient safety.

Methods: We conducted a randomized, single-blind study in a single university hospital. Twenty trainees and two consultants examined paired B-Mode and fused B-mode and elastography video recordings of 24 interscalene and 24 femoral blocks conducted on two soft embalmed cadavers. Perineural injection was randomized equally to 0.25ml, 0.5ml and 1.0ml volumes. Tissue displacement perceived on both imaging modalities was defined as “target” or “distractor”.

Our primary objective was to test anaesthetists’ perception of the number

and proportion of targets and distractors on B-Mode and fused elastography videos collected during femoral and sciatic nerve block on soft embalmed cadavers. Our secondary objectives were to determine differences between novices and experts and between test dose volumes, and measure the area and brightness of spread and strain patterns.

Results: All anaesthetists recognized perineural spread using 0.25ml volumes. Distractor patterns were recognized in 133 (12%) of B-Mode and in 403 (38%) of fused B-Mode and elastography patterns, $P < 0.001$. With elastography, novice recognition improved from 12% to 37% ($P < 0.001$) and consultant recognition increased from 24% to 53%, $P < 0.001$. Distractor recognition improved from 8% to 31% using 0.25ml volumes ($P <$

0.001), and from 15% to 45% using 1 ml volumes ($P < 0.001$).

Conclusions: Visual search improved with fusion elastography, increased volume, and consultants. A need exists to investigate image search strategies.

Editor's key points

- Elastography is an ultrasound-based technology that cross-correlates radio-frequency waves before and after tissue displacement and displays relative displacement (strain) in colour.
- Compared with B-Mode ultrasound, B-Mode plus elastography video recording may facilitate appropriate peripheral nerve blocks.

Introduction

Errors may occur during the visual search, recognition and decision making phases¹ of ultrasound-guided regional anaesthesia (UGRA) nerve block. Search errors are attributable to failure to see lesions that are normally noticed by anaesthetists.² With recognition errors, lesions are seen but confusing; and decision errors occur when a lesion is fixated on for long periods but the anaesthetist either does not recognise features or dismisses them.³

Elastography is an ultrasound-based technology that cross-correlates radio-frequency waves before and after tissue displacement and displays relative displacement (strain) in colour. While conducting interscalene and femoral nerve block in cadavers⁴ and patients⁵ we noticed novel features on paired B-Mode and

1
2
3
4
5 elastography ultrasound images in response
6
7
8 to injection of test doses. Tissue strain
9
10
11 intermittently presented as two patterns
12
13 instead of one.⁶ Additional patterns,
14
15
16 termed distractors, were distinguished
17
18 from primary target displacement patterns
19
20 by brightness, size, position or
21
22 movement.⁷ In contrast, test doses as low
23
24 as 0.25ml were always seen on B-Mode
25
26 images, but distractor patterns much less
27
28 so. Our impression was that elastography
29
30 exhibited greater saliency, the extent to
31
32 which a location differs from its
33
34 surroundings, than B-mode images
35
36 because: (i) key regions differed in
37
38 brightness, colour, orientation, and
39
40 motion; and that (ii) anaesthetists'
41
42 visual attention was attracted towards
43
44 these features.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Visual salience describes the visual processing mechanism that enables the brain to select important features that stand out from other items or locations⁸ (Table 1). Salience is associated with passive, automatic visual search or “bottom-up processing” rather than cognitive, goal driven “top-down processing”⁹. Consideration of both processes creates a saliency map, a topographic representation of relative stimulus strength and behavioural importance. This map is distributed throughout the visual cortex and linked via the oculomotor system to eye movement and eye gaze¹⁰. Eye gaze characteristics such as fixations and saccades are quantified using eye-tracking technology and are used in many industries to measure technical performance.

Visual search and salience differ between experts and novices. Experts rely on bottom-up processes that facilitate efficient search, albeit mediated by prior knowledge when encountering novel stimuli⁹. Novices, in contrast, are primarily goal driven but can be salience led to very obvious targets.

We hypothesized that improvements in novice visual perception were salience driven, and, if so, would provide the evidence for investigation of the role of eye gaze technology and augmented reality during simulator training. This approach could help train anaesthetists better, target local anaesthetic more and improve patient safety.

Our primary objective was to test anaesthetists' perception of the number and proportion of targets and distractors on B-Mode and fused elastography videos collected during femoral and sciatic nerve block on soft embalmed cadavers.

Our secondary objectives were to measure differences in perception between novices and experts, between test dose volumes, and measure the area and brightness of spread and strain patterns over time.

Methods

Participants

All trainee anaesthetists at basic, intermediate and higher levels of training working in Ninewells Hospital were invited to take part in this study along with two

consultant subspecialists in regional anaesthesia. Our exclusion criterion for trainees was the prior use of elastography. The study was approved by the University of Dundee Committee on Non-Medical Ethics.

Software Development

Elastography and its applicability to the diagnosis of intraneural injection is described in detail in a previous papers^{4, 6}. In summary, our engineer converted colour elastography video frames to filtered and despeckled black and white images using MATLAB software (Natick, MA) and a grayscale threshold tool. Enhanced elastography patterns were fused onto corresponding B-Mode images. Tissue displacement in response to fluid injection was seen as a flowing translucent, white area, superimposed onto paired B Mode images. Fusion videos were converted to

TIFF files and analyzed using ImageJ (Wayne Rasband, Research Services Branch, National Institute of Mental Health, Bethesda, MD)

Conduct of block

A single independent, experienced anaesthetist conducted 24 interscalene and 24 femoral ultrasound-guided nerve blocks, randomized equally using a software randomization program to 0.25ml, 0.5ml and 1ml volumes to both sides of two soft embalmed cadavers. The physical properties of the soft embalmed Thiel cadaver and its functional alignment as a simulator of ultrasound-guided regional anaesthesia have been described⁶.

Interscalene block was performed using a 100 mm needle (B.Braun, Sheffield, UK), in-plane to a 5 to 10MHz ultrasound

transducer, and embalming fluid deposited between the nerve roots of C5 and C6.

Femoral block was performed using a 50 mm needle at 90° to the plane of the same ultrasound transducer and injected below the fascia iliaca and superficial to the femoral nerve. We recorded nerve blocks using a Zonare (Mountain View, CA) Z.one ultrasound scan engine build release 4.2 with B-Mode ultrasound and proprietary elastography. Blocks were recorded on DICOM imaging software.

Before starting the study, participants were shown videos of interscalene and femoral block using B-Mode and elastography ultrasound by one of two independent investigators. Participants deemed themselves ready for participation in the experiment. Each assessed 96 videos

comprising 8 blocks performed at 2 sites on 2 nerves (interscalene and femoral), using 3 volumes (0.25 ml, 0.5 ml, 1.0 ml) and 2 imaging modes (B-Mode ultrasound and fused B-Mode and elastography). Each video was played once to replicate practice. Patterns were rated according to whether perineural fluid spread or strain tissue had occurred in isolation or not. Distractors were defined as distinct patterns of spread or strain differing from target perineural spread or strain by either size, movement or time. Our primary endpoint was therefore recorded as a “1” or “2” [P u b M e d](#) corresponding to the number of events observed. We did not ask trainees to refine their choice further because this study focused on the detection of events and not their interpretation or impact on decision making. We did not know if

1
2
3
4
5
6 sufficient homogeneity existed between
7
8 patterns that would have allowed ready,
9
10 simple classification by non-experts. For
11
12 descriptive purposes, video examples of
13
14 fluid spread and strain patterns were
15
16 categorized by two researchers at the end
17
18 of the study in order to minimize bias.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 *Area and Brightness Measurement*

27
28 The cross-sectional area and brightness of
29
30 B-Mode fluid spread and strain patterns
31
32 were measured on every fourth video frame
33
34 (every 0.5s) by a single rater using ImageJ.
35
36 To test the reliability of our data, two
37
38 raters independently measured the area of
39
40 fusion elastograms using the yellow tracing
41
42 tool available on ImageJ. Duration of fluid
43
44 spread or strain was defined as the time
45
46 from the first visible evidence of tissue
47
48 distention to tissue relaxation. Each image
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

was calibrated to a standard 7.28 pixels.mm⁻². Mean tissue brightness was calculated as the sum of the grey values between 0 (black) and 255 (white) of all the pixels within the region of interest divided by the number of pixels. Area and brightness measurements were log converted for analysis. Our secondary end-points were measurements of area (mm²) and brightness.

Statistical analysis

Paired B-Mode and fused elastography images were analyzed using McNemar's test and presented as differences in paired proportions. Predictors of area and brightness were assessed using a mixed effects regression model. Covariates included cadaver, right or left sided injection, injection sequence, type of block

(interscalene and femoral), volume (0.25, 0.5 and 1.0 ml) and imaging region of interest (perineural fluid spread, area of strain pattern). A stratified logistic regression model was used to analyze binary outcomes and take account of repeated measures. Data were analyzed using Number Cruncher Statistical Systems (NCSS) 11, NCSS Inc., Kaysville, UT and LogXact 8, Cytel Inc., Cambridge, MA.

Results

Twenty anaesthesia trainees and 2 consultant UGRA experts examined 48 paired B-Mode and fusion elastography videos, giving 2112 observations. Median (IQR) trainee anaesthesia experience was 3 (1 – 4) years. All participants recognized

perineural spread or strain at all volumes between 0.25 ml and 1 ml.

Distractors were recognized in 133 (12%) of B-Mode and 403 (38%) fusion elastography videos, differences in paired proportions, $P < 0.001$. (Table 2). Use of fusion elastography improved novice recognition from 12% to 37% ($P < 0.001$) and consultant recognition from 24% to 53% ($P < 0.001$). Recognition of distractors improved from 8% to 31% using 0.25 ml volumes ($P < 0.001$) and from 15% to 45% using 1 ml volumes ($P < 0.001$).

Using stratified logistic regression, recognition of distractors was better with: fusion elastography Odds Ratio, OR(95%CI) 5.31 (3.14 – 8.96), $P < 0.001$; consultant anaesthetists OR 3.84 (2.29 – 6.45) $P < 0.001$; greater volumes of injectate OR 2.19

(1.11 – 4.31), $P = 0.02$; and worse with interscalene block OR 0.58 (0.35 – 0.94), $P = 0.03$ (Table 3). Area and brightness were greater with fusion elastography and with increased injectate volume (Table 4).

Three distinct strain patterns were categorized retrospectively by two additional experts in response to injection: (i) two areas of similar size, shape and brightness but spreading in opposite directions from the tip of the needle (Video 1); (ii) initial tissue displacement followed by a sudden reversal in flow and secondary displacement at the initial site of injection (Video 2); and (iii) tangential displacement away from the injection site (Video 3). Pattern (i) was observed during 7 femoral blocks. Pattern (ii) occurred 13 times with

interscalene and 5 times with femoral block,
and pattern (iii) occurred once with each
block.

Discussion

This study showed improved perception of
distractor tissue changes using fusion
elastography compared to B-Mode
ultrasound. Perception was volume
dependent and better with experts
compared to novices and with femoral block.
Learning was facilitated over a short period
but not to the level of experts.

Strengths and weaknesses of the study

The principal strength of our study is that
our results align with that of Awh et
al¹¹ who proposed a framework for visual

processing. We showed that a saliency-based approach using white enhancement of strain patterns and differences in volume drew attention to key targets for novices. Novice search and performance was enhanced in the short term, but has potential to speed up learning curves with repeated practice.

We extended our fusion elastography work on soft embalmed cadavers that showed that trainees were more likely to diagnose intraneural injection using fusion elastography⁴. Our current study proved more difficult because trainees were expected to recognize low volume tissue changes. Therefore, it is unsurprising that trainees could only recognize 62% of distractor patterns compared to 80% of intraneural injections as in our previous

study. All trainees were able to detect perineural displacement on B-Mode images secondary to injection of volumes between 0.25 ml and 1 ml (videos 1 to 3), an observation that agrees with that of Krediet et al^{1 2}.

We identified three distinct distractor patterns that varied in brightness, size and movement to target patterns, and described them as bilateral, rebound and distal. Bilateral patterns occurred in 7 femoral blocks, secondary to forceful needle nerve contact during out-of-plane needle insertion (Video 1), and sideways spread of injectate. Forceful needle nerve contact and nerve haematoma has been proposed as one cause of chronic nerve damage after

1
2
3
4
5 regional anaesthesia^{1 3}. This observation
6
7
8 suggests a need for investigation of the
9
10
11 role of elastography along with pressure
12
13
14 monitoring in the diagnosis of forceful
15
16
17 needle verve contact. We hypothesize that
18
19
20 rebound phenomena represented movement
21
22
23 and reflection of fluid within fascial planes
24
25
26 and therefore likely to contribute to
27
28
29 clinical block. Video 2 provides an insight
30
31
32 into the hydrodynamics of perineural
33
34
35 spread, an aspect of regional blockade that
36
37
38 hitherto has not been investigated. An
39
40
41 assumption exists in practice that flow of
42
43
44 local anaesthetic is unidirectional. Our
45
46
47 results suggest otherwise for test doses.
48
49
50 We hypothesize that injectate distends
51
52
53 tissue planes, but once wavefront pressure
54
55
56 is insufficient to open up fascial layers, it
57
58
59 returns as a wave to the point of origin.
60
There is a need to investigate this

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

phenomenon further using the range of
volumes used. The distal pattern seen in
Video 3 is likely to be associated with
excessive longitudinal strain secondary to
excessive transducer pressure, albeit we
would expect that local anaesthetic
deposition far from the nerve would be
associated with similar tissue changes. Our
observations on elastography confirm
previous work that suggests a potential
clinical role detecting test doses. However,
elastography should not be regarded as a
surrogate for hydrolocation because strain
area was greater than hydrolocation area on
B - Mode images. Strain reflects relative
displacement of all tissues during fluid
injection, and tissue displacement
depends on tissue elasticity or stiffness.

We used elastography as an experimental psychological tool because it demonstrated white areas of strain and spatial, temporal and dynamic changes using a standard ultrasound transducer. Injections are presented as videos because tissue change using 0.25ml to 1ml is difficult to see on static images. Use of two cadavers may be regarded as a weakness but we had limited availability at the time of the study. The University of Dundee has now converted to the whole-time use of soft embalmed cadavers and future studies will randomize to different cadavers.

Impact of Research

This work has increased understanding of the psychological mechanisms that underpin performance during nerve block.

Consideration of change blindness, a

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

perceptual phenomenon that occurs when a salient visual stimulus is introduced and the observer does not notice, suggests trainee difficulty with target search. We hypothesize that novices were reliant on cognitive learning, which may help detect single distinctive targets, but that this compromised their performance during multiple-target search tasks because the cognitive effort needed to match targets to expectations was overwhelming¹⁵. In contrast, experts used an automatic global search strategy gained by long-term exposure to repeated images^{16, 17}. Our results show that novices had deficiencies in visual search and that improvement in visual attention was salience driven. Visual search is quantifiable using eye tracking, a technology used in other industries to quantify eye gaze fixations and saccades,

and thus can create individual learning curves, and investigate the transfer of visual search and image interpretation to decision making¹. We are quantifying the learning curves of trainee anaesthetists and expert regional anaesthetists while conducting up to 60 interscalene blocks on the soft embalmed Thiel cadaver in a mastery learning and dedicated practice environment. Eye gaze metrics provide a graphical representation of rate of progress, patterns of learning over time, and discrimination between good, average and poor performers, and between experts. We now wish to investigate whether eye gaze metrics, along with item analysis of preprocedural and procedural tasks provide a measure of transfer of skills from the simulator to the clinical workplace two to three months later. Using the same metrics,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

we also wish to investigate the best means
of teaching on the simulator that translates
best to practice.

An opportunity also exists to investigate
which salient features are more likely to
help or hinder anaesthetists and discover
how novices and expert anaesthetists
interrogate images and translate their
impact to individual performance. The
relative interaction of top-down and
bottom-up influences deserves
investigation in regional anaesthesia.

Conclusion

We applied fusion elastography to training
on the soft embalmed cadaver to guide
novice attention to salient features. This
improved recognition of distractors in
response to perineural test doses. A need
exists to investigate novice search

strategies using eye tracking technology to improve training and target local anaesthetic accurately and safely.

References

- 1 Kundel HL, Nodine CF, Carmody D. Visual scanning, pattern recognition and decision-making in pulmonary nodule detection. *Invest Radiol* 1978; **13**: 175-81
- 2 van der Gijp A, Ravesloot CJ, Jarodzka H, et al. How visual search relates to visual diagnostic performance: a narrative systematic review of eye-tracking research in radiology. *Adv Health Sci Educ Theory Pract* 2017; **22**: 765-87
- 3 Krupinski EA. The role of perception in imaging: past and future. *Semin Nucl Med* 2011; **41**: 392-400
- 4 Munirama S, Zealley K, Schwab A, et al. Trainee anaesthetist diagnosis of intraneural

injection-a study comparing B-mode
ultrasound with the fusion of B-mode and
elastography in the soft embalmed Thiel
cadaver model. *Br J Anaesth* 2016; **117**:
792-800

5 Munirama S, Satapathy AR, Schwab A, et al.
Translation of sonoelastography from Thiel
cadaver to patients for peripheral nerve
blocks. *Anaesthesia* 2012; **67**: 721-8

6 Munirama S, Eisma R, Columb M, Corner GA,
McLeod GA. Physical properties and
functional alignment of soft-embalmed Thiel
human cadaver when used as a simulator for
ultrasound-guided regional anaesthesia. *Br J
Anaesth* 2016; **116**: 699-707

7 Eckstein MP. Visual search: a
retrospective. *J Vis* 2011; **11**: 1-36.

8 Treue S. Visual attention: the where, what,
how and why of saliency. *Curr Opin
Neurobiol* 2003; **13**: 428-32

9 Melloni L, van Leeuwen S, Alink A, Muller NG.

Interaction between bottom-up saliency and
top-down control: how saliency maps are
created in the human brain. *Cereb*

Cortex 2012; **22**: 2943-52

10 Moore T, Zirnsak M. Neural Mechanisms of
Selective Visual Attention. *Annu Rev*

Psychol 2017; **68**: 47-72

11 Awh E, Belopolsky AV, Theeuwes J.

Top-down versus bottom-up attentional
control: a failed theoretical

dichotomy. *Trends Cogn Sci* 2012; **16**: 437-43

12 Krediet AC, Moayeri N, Bleyers RL, Groen GJ.

Intraneural or extraneural: diagnostic

accuracy of ultrasound assessment for

localizing low-volume injection. *Reg Anesth*

Pain Med 2014; **39**: 409-13

13 Steinfeldt T, Graf J, Schneider J, et al.

Histological Consequences of Needle-Nerve

Contact following Nerve Stimulation in a Pig

Model. *Anesthesiol Res Pract* 2011; **2011**:
591851

14 Simons DJ, Ambinder MS. Change blindness:
Theory and consequences. *Current Directions
in Psychological Science* 2005; **14**: 44-8

15 Nakashima R, Kobayashi K, Maeda E,
Yoshikawa T, Yokosawa K. Visual search of
experts in medical image reading: the effect
of training, target prevalence, and expert
knowledge. *Front Psychol* 2013; **4**: 166

16 Chun MM, Jiang Y. Contextual cueing:
implicit learning and memory of visual
context guides spatial attention. *Cogn
Psychol* 1998; **36**: 28-71

17 Krupinski EA, Tillack AA, Richter L, et al.
Eye-movement study and human performance
using telepathology virtual slides:
implications for medical education and
differences with experience. *Hum
Pathol* 2006; **37**: 1543-56

Authors' Contributions and Authorship

AM Performed trainee study

JS Performed trainee study

MC Statistical analysis

MM Psychology advisor

AS Bioengineer, wrote fusion elastography software and conducted anatomy studies

RE Supervising Anatomist

GC Medical Physics support

GM Study design, wrote paper

SM Study design, cadaver regional blocks and cadaver data collection, wrote paper

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

This study was presented at the Anaesthetic
Research Society meeting in Clydebank,
November 2016

For Peer Review

Term	Description
Visual search	Task of looking for a target in a cluttered visual environment. Non-target items are termed distractors.
Visual salience	The distinct subjective perceptual quality which makes some items in the world stand out from their neighbors and immediately grab our attention.
Guiding attribute	Visual properties that can be used to direct deployment of attention.
Saliency	The extent to which a location differs from its surroundings with respect to guiding attributes such as colour, orientation, motion
Saliency map	A topographically arranged map that represents the visual saliency of a scene.
Visual attention	The process used to select stimuli
Change blindness	Failure to notice something different about a display
Inattention blindness	Failure to notice a fully-visible, but unexpected object because attention was engaged on another task, event, or object.
Bottom-up attention	Factors that depend only on instantaneous sensory input, without taking into account the goals, personal history and experiences.
Top-down attention	Factors that take into account goals, personal history and experiences. Bottom-up salience can be modified by top-down goals of the searcher.

Table 2. Number (n) and proportion (%) of distractor patterns identified (+) or not (-) by 20 trainees and 2 consultants on 1056 paired B-Mode and elastography images. Distractor features recognised on B-Mode images (n = 133) calculated from sum of column (a) and column (b). For example 30 (28 + 2) distractors seen on B-Mode images using 0.25mL. Distractor features recognised on elastography images (n = 403) calculated from sum of column (a) and column (c). For example 108 (28 + 80) distractors seen on elastography images using 0.25mL.

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	% Difference	P-value
	B-Mode (+)	B-Mode (+)	B-Mode (-)	B-Mode (-)	in Paired	
	Elastography	Elastography	Elastography	Elastography	Proportions	
	(+)	(-)	(+)	(-)	(95%CI)	
Volume						
0.25 mL	28 (8%)	2 (0%)	80 (23%)	242 (69%)	22% (18 – 27)	< 0.001
0.5 mL	43 (12%)	4 (1%)	93 (26%)	212 (60%)	25% (21 – 30)	< 0.001
1.0 mL	54 (15%)	2 (0%)	105 (30%)	191 (54%)	29% (24 – 34)	< 0.001
Experience						
Trainee	104 (11%)	6 (1%)	248 (26%)	602 (63%)	25% (22 – 28)	< 0.001
Consultant	21 (22%)	2 (2%)	30 (31%)	43 (45%)	29% (19 – 39)	< 0.001
Nerve						
Interscalene	58 (11%)	7 (1%)	114 (22%)	349 (66%)	20% (17 – 24)	< 0.001
Femoral	67 (13%)	1 (0%)	164 (31%)	296 (56%)	31% (27 – 35)	< 0.001

Table 3. Stratified logistic regression model for response = 2.

Analysis takes account of repeated measures. Predictors of improved recognition were elastography, consultant anaesthetists, femoral block and increased volume.

	Univariate analysis	P-value
	Odd Ratio (95%CI)	
Nerve		
Femoral	-----	
Interscalene	0.58 (0.35 – 0.94)	0.03
Volume	2.19 (1.11 – 4.31)	0.02
Experience		
Trainee	-----	
Consultant	3.84 (2.29 - 6.45)	<0.001
Mode		
B-Mode	-----	
Elastography	5.31 (3.14 – 8.96)	<0.001

Table 4. Cross-sectional area (mm²) and brightness (0 – 255) of tissue displacement following injection. Geometric mean (95%CI). Measures include bifid injection and rebound effect but not distal spread. Area and brightness greater with all volumes and blocks using fusion elastography.

	Area		P-Value	Brightness		P-value
	B-Mode	Elastogram		B-Mode	Elastogram	
0.25ml	14.4 (9.9 – 21.0)	27.0 (18.5 – 39.3)	<0.001	28.9 (24.9 – 33.5)	94.6 (81.5 – 109.9)	<0.001
0.5ml	19.5 (13.4 – 28.5)	57.2 (39.2 – 83.4)	<0.001	27.4 (23.6 – 31.8)	129.2 (111.1 – 150.1)	<0.001
1ml	22.5 (15.5 – 32.6)	47.3 (32.7 – 68.6)	<0.001	26.2 (22.6 – 30.4)	122.9 (106.0 – 142.5)	<0.001
Interscalene	15.3 (11.3 – 20.7)	33.5 (24.6 – 45.6)	<0.001	32.9 (29.3 – 37.1)	124.9 (110.7 – 141.0)	<0.001
Femoral	22.3 (16.4 – 30.4)	52.2 (38.6 – 70.7)	<0.001	22.9 (20.3 – 25.9)	105.0 (92.8 – 118.7)	<0.001